

GEOMETRY ON NODAL CURVES

ZIV RAN

October 12, 2002

ABSTRACT. Given a family X/B of nodal curves we construct canonically and compatibly with base-change, via an explicit blow-up of the Cartesian product X^r/B , a family $W^r(X/B)$ parametrizing length- r subschemes of fibres of X/B (plus some additional data). Though $W^r(X/B)$ is singular, the important sheaves on it are locally free, which allows us to study intersection theory on it and deduce enumerative applications, including some relative multiple point formulae, enumerating the length- r schemes contained simultaneously in some fibre of X/B and some fibre of a given map from X to a smooth variety.

One of the important facts which make geometry, in particular enumerative geometry, on a smooth curve X relatively simple is the existence of simple and quite tractable parameter spaces for subschemes of X of given length r , be it the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^r(X)$, which in fact is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^r(X)$, or the Cartesian product X^r which parametrizes subschemes in an $(r! : 1)$ fashion, and is sufficient for many applications, especially enumerative ones. *mutatis mutandis*, the same holds for families of smooth curves. In [R1], the author studied enumerative projective geometry for families of smooth curves obtaining, *inter alia*, a general *relative multiple point formula*, i.e. a formula enumerating the length- r subschemes of the fibres of a given family X/B whose image under a given map

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

is a single reduced point.

Surprisingly, it seems these ideas and results have yet to be extended to singular curves and families of such. This paper is a step in that direction

Updates and corrections available at math.ucr.edu/~ziv/papers/geonodal.pdf
 Research Partially supported by NSA Grant MDA904-02-1-0094; reproduction and distribution of reprints by US government permitted.

in the case of nodal curves, i.e. curves with only ordinary double points as singularities. To a family

$$\pi : X \rightarrow B$$

of nodal curves and a natural number r we shall associate canonically a family

$$\pi_r : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow B$$

which is functorial in B , i.e. its formation commutes with base-change; in fact, $W^r(X/B)$ is a canonical and explicit blowup of the Cartesian fibre product X^r/B (more explicitly, of $W^{r-1}(X/B) \times_B X$) in a suitable sheaf of ideals. We will show that $W^r(X/B)$ admits a morphism to the relative Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^r(X/B)$. In fact, we will subsequently show that $W^r(X/B)$ is isomorphic to the relative *ordered flag-Hilbert scheme*, which parametrizes chains

$$z_1 \subset z_2 \dots \subset z_r$$

where each z_i is a length- i subscheme of a fibre of X/B , together with compatible orderings of the support of each z_i .

The fact that $W^r(X/B)$ admits a morphism to Hilb implies that it carries 'tautological' bundles (also called secant bundles) $S^r(L)$, for any vector bundle L on X , whose fibre at a point is the restriction of L on the corresponding scheme. We will see that $S^r(L)$ can be analyzed conveniently with exact sequences. This fact, together with the fact that certain 'diagonal' divisors become Cartier on $W^r(X/B)$ enables us to do some intersection theory on these spaces and apply it to enumerative questions (although the complete intersection theory of the $W^r(X/B)$ is yet to be worked out).

The remainder of the paper is largely devoted to multiple-point formulae. In the case of a map f to a Grassmannian, one can define and enumerate a multiple-point scheme $M_r(f)$ as a more-or-less direct consequence of the existence of a tautological bundle on $W^r(X/B)$ (whose fibre at a point is the space of functions, or sections of a vector bundle, the corresponding subscheme), and its relation to the tautological bundles on the Grassmannian. In the case of a map to a general (smooth) variety Y , this approach is not available so instead we develop an essentially iterative approach, viewing $M_r(f)$ essentially as a sublocus of (a blowup of) $M_{r-1}(f) \times_B X$, we have a natural mapping

$$M_{r-1}(f) \times_B X \rightarrow Y \times Y$$

and we can define $M_r(f)$ as the locus in the pullback of the diagonal in $Y \times Y$ residual to the 'diagonal' where the r th point coincides with one of the first $r-1$. The fundamental class of $M_r(f)$ can then be computed with the Fulton-MacPherson residual-intersection formula [F].

The paper is organized as follows. In a preliminary §1 we study finite schemes supported at a (1-dimensional) node or in a neighborhood of a node

in a family of curves; this is basically a matter of elementary algebra. In §2 we give the construction of the parameter spaces $W^r(X/B)$, proceeding by induction. We then derive our multiple-point formula for maps to Grassmannians and give some applications, mainly for maps to $\mathbb{P}^2$. In §3 we give the general multiple-point formula, allowing maps to an arbitrary smooth target variety.

This paper is a continuation of [R6] where more particular results were obtained, essentially by an *ad hoc* version of the methods of this paper.

1. THE HILBERT SCHEME AT A NODE

In this section we will first study the punctual Hilbert scheme of length- r schemes supported at the origin on a germ of a node $xy = 0$. Then we will study the full Hilbert scheme of this germ. Finally we will study the relative Hilbert scheme of a family of curves in the neighborhood a node. These results will form the local foundation on which we shall in §2 construct our global parameter spaces $W^r(X/B)$.

We denote by R the localization of the ring

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy) = \{a + \sum_{i \geq 1} b_i x^i + c_i y^i\}$$

at its maximal ideal (x, y) . Thus the formal completion

$$\hat{R} = \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/(xy)$$

is isomorphic to the formal completion of the local ring at any 1-dimensional ordinary node. We seek to determine the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_m^0(R)$ of colength- m ideals in R which, as is well known, is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hilb}_m^0(\hat{R})$.

Proposition 1.1. *(i) Any ideal $I < R$ of colength m is of one of the following types:*

$$(c_i^m) \quad I_i^m(a) = (y^i + ax^{m-i}), a \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, m-1;$$

$$(q_i^m) \quad Q_i^m = (x^{m-i+1}, y^i), i = 1, \dots, m.$$

(ii) The closure C_i^m in the Hilbert scheme of the set of ideals of type (c_i^m) is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ and consists of the ideals of types (c_i^m) or (q_i^m) or (q_{i+1}^m) . In fact, we have

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} I_i^m(a) = Q_i^m,$$

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} I_i^m(a) = Q_{i+1}^m.$$

(iii) The punctual Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_m^0(R)$ is a rational chain

$$C_1^m \cup_{Q_2^m} C_2^m \cup \cdots \cup C_{m-1}^m,$$

with nodes at $Q_2^m, \dots, Q_{m-1}^m$ and smooth elsewhere.

(iv) The only colength- $(m-1)$ ideal containing $I_i^m(a)$ is Q_i^{m-1} ; the only colength- $(m-1)$ ideals containing Q_i^m are the $I_{i-1}^{m-1}(a)$ for $a \neq 0$ and their limits Q_i^{m-1} and Q_{i-1}^{m-1} .

proof. Note that any nonzero nonunit $z \in R$ is associate to a uniquely determined element of the form x^α or y^β or $x^\alpha + ay^\beta$, $a \neq 0, \alpha, \beta > 0$, in which case we will say that z is of type $(\alpha, 0)$ or $(0, \beta)$ or (α, β) , respectively. Note also that for any ideal I of colength m we have

$$x^m, y^m \in I.$$

Now given I of colength m , pick $z \in I$ of minimal type (α, β) , with respect to the natural partial ordering on types. Suppose to begin with that $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then note that

$$x^{\alpha+1}, y^{\beta+1} \in I,$$

and consequently (α, β) is unique: indeed if (α', β') is also minimal then we may assume $\alpha' > \alpha$, hence $x^{\alpha'} \in I$, hence $y^{\beta'} \in I$, contradicting minimality. Hence (α, β) is unique and it is then easy to see that the element $z' = x^\alpha + ay^\beta \in I$ is unique as well, so clearly z' generates I and I is of type (c_β^m) .

Thus we may assume that any minimal element of I is of type $(\alpha, 0)$ or $(0, \beta)$. Since $x^m, y^m \in I$, I clearly contains minimal elements of type $(\alpha, 0)$ and $(0, \beta)$, and then it is easy to see that I is of type (q_β^m) . This proves assertion (i). Since $I_i^m(a)$ contains y^{i+1}, x^{m-i+1} , assertion (ii) is easy, as is (iv).

For (iii), we note that the punctual Hilbert scheme parametrizes punctual deformations of an ideal $I < R$, parametrized by a local augmented artin $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra S . This means ideals

$$I_S < R_S := S[x, y]_{(x, y)}$$

such that R_S/I_S is S -free of rank m , and which are punctual in the sense that I_S is contained in a unique ideal J that is maximal subject to

$$J \cap S = 0$$

(in which case $J = (x, y)$ automatically). The assertion is that this scheme is 1-dimensional and smooth at $I_i^m(a)$ and nodal at Q_i^m . We consider the case of Q_i^m as that of $I_i^m(a)$ is similar but simpler. Then I_S is generated by

$$f = x^{m+1-i} + f_1(x) + f_2(y),$$

$$g = y^i + g_1(x) + g_2(y)$$

where the f_i, g_j are polynomials without constant term (by punctuality) and with coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}_S$. If the low term of f_1 is $bx^j, j < m + 1 - i$ then

$$I_S \subseteq (x^{m+1-i-j} + b, y)$$

contradicting maximality. Therefore $j \geq m + 1 - i$ and changing by units we may assume

$$f = x^{m+1-i} + by^r$$

$$g = y^i + cx^s.$$

Note if $r \geq i$ then replacing f by $f - bx^{r-i}g$ yields a polynomial in x only which by the above remarks may be assumed to be x^{m+1-i} , so we can take $b = 0$; hence we may assume $r < i$ and similarly $s < m + 1 - i$. On the other hand if $r < i - 1$ then $by^{i-1} \in I_S$ whereas by flatness,

$$1, x, \dots, x^{m-i}, y, \dots, y^{i-1}$$

must be an S -free basis of R_S/I_S . Therefore $r = i - 1, s = m - i$. Finally, since

$$cx^{m+1-i} + bcy^{i-1}, by^i + bcx^{m-i} \in I_S,$$

subtracting these from g, f respectively we get a contradiction to punctuality unless $bc = 0$. Thus, punctual S -deformations of Q_i^m are parametrized by a pair $b, c \in \mathfrak{m}_S$ with $bc = 0$, i.e. by the local $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphisms of the local ring of a node to S , so the punctual Hilbert scheme itself is a 1-dimensional node locally at Q_i^m , as claimed. $\square$

Next we determine the structure of the full Hilbert scheme of R :

Proposition 1.2. *The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_m(R)$ is a chain*

$$D_0^m \cup D_1^m \cdots D_{m-1}^m \cup D_m^m$$

where each D_i^m is a smooth and m -dimensional germ supported on C_i^m for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ or Q_i^m for $i = 0, m$; for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1, D_i^m$ meets its neighbors $D_{i\pm 1}^m$ transversely in dimension $m - 1$ and meets no other D_i^m .

proof. Clearly $\text{Hilb}_m(R)$ is a germ supported on $\text{Hilb}_m^0(R)$, so this is a matter of determining the scheme structure of $\text{Hilb}_m(R)$ at each point of $\text{Hilb}_m^0(R)$, which may be done formally by testing on Artin local algebras. Again, we shall do so at Q_i^m as the case of $I_i^m(a)$ is similar and simpler. Given S artinian local augmented, a flat S -deformation of $I = Q_i^m$ is given by an ideal

$$I_S = (f, g),$$

$$(1.1) \quad f = x^{m+1-i} + f_1(x) + f_2(y),$$

$$g = y^i + g_1(x) + g_2(y),$$

where f_i, g_j are polynomials with coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}_S$, and such that R_S/I_S is S -free of rank m , in which case it is clear by Nakayama's Lemma that

$$1, x, \dots, x^{m-i}, y, \dots, y^{i-1}$$

is a free basis for R_S/I_S . It is easy to see that we may assume f_1, g_1 are of degree $\leq m-i$ and f_2, g_2 are of degree $< i$ and f_2, g_2 have no constant term. Let's write

$$(1.2) \quad f_1(x) = \sum_0^{m-i} a_j x^j, f_2(y) = \sum_1^{i-1} b_j y^j,$$

$$(1.3) \quad g_1(x) = \sum_0^{m-i} c_j x^j, g_2(y) = \sum_1^{i-1} d_j y^j.$$

Now obviously

$$yf - b_{i-1}g \equiv 0 \equiv xg - c_{m-i}f \pmod{I_S}.$$

Writing these elements out in terms of $1, x, \dots, x^{m-i}, y, \dots, y^{i-1}$ yields relations among $1, x, \dots, x^{m-i}, y, \dots, y^{i-1}$. Since the latter elements form an S -free basis of R_S/I_S , those relations must be trivial, in other words we have exact equalities rather than congruences:

$$yf - b_{i-1}g = 0 = xg - c_{m-i}f.$$

Writing this out yields the following identities

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} b_j &= b_{i-1}d_{j+1}, j = 1, \dots, i-2, \\ b_{i-1}d_1 &= a_0, \\ b_{i-1}c_j &= 0, j = 0, \dots, m-i, \\ c_j &= c_{m-i}a_{j+1}, j = 0, \dots, m-i-1, \\ c_{m-i}a_0 &= 0, \\ c_{m-i}b_j &= 0, j = 1, \dots, i-1. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose the relations (1.4) are satisfied, i.e.

$$(1.4') \quad yf - b_{i-1}g = 0 = xg - c_{m-i}f.$$

By Nakayama's Lemma, $1, x, \dots, x^{m-i}, y, \dots, y^{i-1}$ generate R_S/I_S , hence to show (1.1) defines a flat family it suffices to show these elements admit no nontrivial S -relations mod I_S . To this end, suppose

$$(1.5) \quad u_{m-i}(x) + v_{i-1}(y) = A(x, y)f + B(x, y)g$$

where $u(x), \dots$ are all polynomials in the indicated variables and of the indicated degrees (if any) with coefficients in S and v has no constant term (in fact it clear that then A, B have coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}_S$). Then the relations (1.4') allow us to rewrite (1.5) as

$$(1.6) \quad u_{m-i}(x) + v_{i-1}(y) = A'(x)f + B'(y)g,$$

and comparing coefficients in (1.6) we see directly that

$$A' = B' = u_{m-i} = v_{i-1} = 0,$$

hence there are no nontrivial relations, as claimed.

Thus the Hilbert scheme is embedded in the space of the variables

$$a_1, \dots, a_{m-i}, d_1, \dots, d_{i-1}, b_{i-1}, c_{m-i},$$

i.e. $\mathbb{A}^{m+1}$, and defined by the relation

$$(1.7) \quad b_{i-1}c_{m-i} = 0.$$

Thus it is a union of 2 smooth m -dimensional components meeting transversely in a smooth $(m-1)$ -dimensional subvariety.

In the case $I = I_i^m(a) = (y^i + ax^{m-i})$, a similar analysis shows that an S -deformation of I is given by

$$I_S = (y^i + \tilde{a}x^{m-i} + f_1(x) + f_2(y))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} &\in S, \tilde{a} \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_S}, \\ f_1(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-i-1} a_j x^j, f_2(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_j y^j, \\ a_j, b_j &\in \mathfrak{m}_S, \end{aligned}$$

and via $(\tilde{a}, a_0, \dots, a_{m-i-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{i-1})$ we may identify Hilbert scheme locally with $\mathbb{A}^m$. $\square$

Finally we consider the relative local situation, i.e. that of a germ of a (1-parameter) family of curves with smooth total space specializing to a node. Thus set

$$\tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{(x, y)}, B = \mathbb{C}[t]/(t),$$

and view $(\tilde{R})$ as a B -module via $xy = t$. As is well known, this is the versal deformation of the node singularity $xy = 0$, so any family of nodal curves is locally a pullback of this.

Proposition 1.3. *The relative Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^m(\tilde{R}/B)$ is smooth, formally $(m+1)$ -dimensional as a total space.*

proof. The relative Hilbert scheme parametrizes length- m schemes contained in fibres of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$. This means ideals $I_S < \tilde{R}_S$ of colength m containing $xy - s$ for some $s \in \mathfrak{m}_S$, such that $\tilde{R}_S/I_S$ is S -free. The analysis of these is virtually identical to that contained in the proof of Proposition 1.2, except that the relation $b_{i-1}c_{m-i} = 0$ gets replaced by

$$b_{i-1}c_{m-i} = s.$$

This means precisely that the relative Hilbert scheme is the subscheme of the affine space of the variables $a_1, \dots, a_{m-i}, d_1, \dots, d_{i-1}, b_{i-1}, c_{m-i}, t$ defined by the relation

$$(1.8) \quad b_{i-1}c_{m-i} = t,$$

hence is smooth as claimed. $\square$

The local analysis immediately yields some conclusions for the Hilbert scheme of a nodal curve:

Corollary 1.4. *Let C_0 be a curve with only k nodes as singularities and c irreducible components. Then*

(i) *$\text{Hilb}^m(C_0)$ is reduced and has precisely $\binom{m+c-1}{m}$ components, the general element of each of which corresponds to a reduced subscheme of the smooth part of C_0 ;*

(ii) *let I be a point of $\text{Hilb}^m(C_0)$ having colength m_i at the i -th node of C_0 ; then locally at I ,*

$\text{Hilb}^m(C_0)$ is a cartesian product of k factors, each of which is a 2-component normal crossing of dimension $m_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, or a point if $m_i = 0$, times a smooth factor.

(iii) *the fibre of the cycle map*

$$\text{cyc} : \text{Hilb}^m(C_0) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m(C_0)$$

over a cycle having multiplicity m_i at the i th node is a product of 1-dimensional rational chains of length $m_i - 1$.

proof. It is clear from the explicit analysis in the proof of Proposition 1.2 that any subscheme of C_0 deforms to a reduced subscheme supported on the smooth part. Such subschemes are parametrized by an open dense subset of the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^m(C_0)$. This clearly yields (i) and (ii), while (iii) follows from the fact that the fibres of cyc are products of punctual Hilbert schemes. $\square$

2. PARAMETER SPACES

Let

$$\pi : X \rightarrow B$$

be a flat family of curves over an irreducible variety, with all fibres nodal and generic fibre smooth. Our purpose here is to construct a natural and explicit birational modification $W^r(X/B)$ of the relative cartesian product X^r/B , which will serve as our basic 'configuration space' on which to do enumerative geometry.

We begin by considering in explicit detail the cases of small r ; subsequently the construction will proceed by induction. Obviously, set

$$W^1(X/B) = X/B;$$

we next consider the case $r = 2$. Note that, locally at a critical point p of π (i.e. a singular point of a fibre $X_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$), our family is analytically equivalent to a subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^2 \times B$ given by

$$(2.1) \quad xy = a$$

where x, y are coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^2$ and a is a function on B , which may be also be viewed as a mapping to the base ($= \mathbb{A}^1$) of the versal deformation of a node, pulling back the germ at p of X/B . Note that X is smooth at p iff $da_p \neq 0$. If $\dim B = 1$, a may be taken to be of the form $a = t^k$, where t is a local coordinate on B and $k \geq 1$ and $k = 1$ iff the X is smooth at p .

Now consider the fibre square X^2/B and let $D \subset X^2/B$ be the diagonal. Note that D is a Cartier divisor *except* at points (p, p) where p is a fibre singularity; there, X^2/B is given locally by

$$(2.2) \quad x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 = a,$$

and D is given by the 2 equations

$$(2.3) \quad x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2;$$

moreover if the total space X is smooth, then X^2/B is smooth (over $\mathbb{C}$) except at those points (p, p) . We let

$$b_2 : W^2(X/B) \rightarrow X^2/B$$

denote the blowup of $D \subset X^2/B$, i.e. the blowup, locally at all points (p, p) as above of the ideal (2.3), and let

$$\Delta^2 \subset W^2(X/B)$$

be the exceptional divisor, i.e. $b_2^{-1}(D)$ with the natural scheme structure endowed by the blowing-up process (not to be confused with the exceptional *locus*, i.e. the locus of all points of $W^2(X/B)$ where b_2 is not an isomorphism locally. It will suffice to analyze the situation locally along the exceptional locus of b_2 .

In terms of coordinates, over a neighborhood of each (p, p) , $W^2(X/B)$ is covered by 2 open affines denoted $U_{x,p}^2, U_{y,p}^2$ or just U_x^2, U_y^2 if p is understood. The coordinate ring of $U_{x,p}^2$ is generated over that of X^2/B by a symbol $[(y_2 - y_1)/(x_2 - x_1)]$ subject to the evident relation

$$(x_2 - x_1)[(y_2 - y_1)/(x_2 - x_1)] = y_2 - y_1.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(x_2 - x_1)[(y_2 - y_1)/(x_2 - x_1)] &= x_1(y_2 - y_1) \\ &= y_2(x_1 - x_2), \end{aligned}$$

hence we may, and shall, write $[(y_2 - y_1)/(x_2 - x_1)]$ as $-[y_2/x_1]$; similarly, the same may also be written as $-[y_1/x_2]$, therefore when the index range is understood we may write the same as $-[y/x]$. Similar comments apply over the other open $U_{y,p}^2$ where a generator $[x_1/y_2] = [x_2/y_2] = [x/y]$ is defined and of course, on the overlap $U_{x,p}^2 \cap U_{y,p}^2$ we have

$$[y_2/x_1][x_1/y_2] = 1.$$

Henceforth we shall denote $U_{x,p}^2, U_{y,p}^2$ respectively as $U([y/x]), U([x/y])$.

Thus the exceptional locus of b_2 consists of a $\mathbb{P}^1$ over each point (p, p) as above. Moreover it is easy to see that if X is smooth, then so is $W^2(X/B)$; in fact, if X is a smooth surface then (p, p) is just a 3-fold ordinary double point and b_2 is one of its 2 small resolutions. It is useful to note that this resolution may be obtained determinantly: i.e. via (2.2) we obtain locally a mapping

$$M : X^2/B \rightarrow M_{2 \times 2}^1,$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_2 & y_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

to the space of 2×2 matrices of rank ≤ 1 and $W^2(X/B)$ is just obtained by taking fibre product of X^2/B via M with the canonical determinantal resolution of $M_{2 \times 2}^1$,

$$R_{2 \times 2}^1 = \{(A, B) \in M_{2 \times 2}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : BA = 0\}.$$

Next, we claim that the natural rational map of X^2/B to the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_2(X/B)$, assigning a pair $p \neq q$ to the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{p,q} < \mathcal{O}_X$ lifts to a morphism

$$J_2 : W^2(X/B) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_2(X/B).$$

Clearly it suffices to check this locally along the exceptional locus. To this end it suffices to note that in the open subset $U_{x,p}^2$, J_2 is given explicitly by sending a point with coordinates $(x_1, x_2, [y_2//x_1])$ to the ideal

$$J_2(x_1, x_2, [y_2//x_1]) =$$

$$(2.4) \quad (xy - a, (x - x_1)(x - x_2), y - y_1 + [y_2//x_1](x - x_1))$$

where, of course, we set

$$y_1 = x_2[y_1//x_2], y_2 = x_1[y_2//x_1], a = x_1y_1 = x_2y_2 = x_1x_2[y_2//x_1].$$

Indeed, it is elementary that the formula (2.4) defines an ideal of colength 2 whose cosupport contains $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$, which implies our assertion. The case of $U_{y,p}^2$ is similar.

Next, before tackling the case of general r we will study in detail the case $r = 3$. Let

$$\Gamma^3 \subset W^2 \times_B X$$

denote the pullback of the tautological subscheme of $\text{Hilb}_2(X/B)$ via the map J_2 . Note that

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma^3 = \Gamma_1^3 \cup \Gamma_2^3$$

where

$$\Gamma_i^3 \subset W^2 \times_B X$$

is the graph of the natural projection $W^2 \rightarrow X$: indeed this follows easily from the fact that the LHS of (2.5) is flat over W^2 , the RHS is reduced and both sides agree generically over W^2 . In particular, we see that Γ^3 is reduced. We define

$$W^3 = W^3(X/B) := B_{\Gamma^3}(W^2(X/B) \times_B X),$$

i.e. the blowup of $W^2 \times_B X$ in Γ^3 , with natural map

$$b_3 : W^3 \rightarrow W^2 \times_B X.$$

Let $\Delta^3 \subset W^3$ be the natural exceptional (Cartier) divisor supported on $b_3^{-1}(\Gamma^3)$ and $\Delta_i^3 = b_3^{-1}(\Gamma_i^3)$, $i = 1, 2$ its components (as Weil, in fact non-Cartier divisors). It is easy to see that all these divisors are reduced, as is W^3 .

To analyze this construction, we work over $U([y//x])$. There, note that the expression $(y_3 - y_1)(x_3 - x_1)$ viewed, e.g. as a function on

$$V := U([y//x]) \times_B U,$$

is divisible by x_2 : indeed setting

$$R = y_2 - [y//x]x_3 - y_3 + y_1,$$

it is easy to check that $x_2 R = (y_3 - y_1)(x_3 - x_1)$. I claim next that the ideal of Γ^3 in V is generated by $(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2), R$: indeed the subscheme Γ' defined by the latter projects isomorphically to the subscheme of the 'x-axis' defined by $(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)$, hence is flat over W^2 , and of course Γ^3 is also flat over W^2 . Since Γ^3 and Γ' clearly coincide generically over W^2 , they coincide, as claimed.

Thus we see that $b_3^{-1}(V)$ may be realized in the standard way as a subscheme of $V \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and as such is covered by the two standard opens pulled back from $\mathbb{P}^1$. One of these is the domain of regularity of the rational function

$$-[y//x^2] := \frac{R}{(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)},$$

and it is easy to check that as rational functions,

$$[y//x^2] = \frac{y_i}{x_j x_k}$$

whenever $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, justifying the notation; we denote this open by $U([y//x^2])$. Note, trivially, that regularity of $[y//x^2]$ implies that of $[y_i//x_j]$, $\forall i, j$, so $U([y//x^2])$ indeed lies over $U([x//y])$. On the other standard open of $V \times \mathbb{P}^1$ the function

$$[x^2//y] = \frac{x_j x_k}{y_i}$$

is regular, however its domain of regularity does not lie entirely over $U([y//x])$ (nor, for that matter, entirely over $U([x//y])$).

Analogous comments apply to the part of W^3 over $U([x//y])$ which gives rise to symbols $[x//y^2], [y^2//x]$. Note by construction that the regularity domains

$$U([y//x^2]), U([y^2//x], [x^2//y]) := U([y^2//x]) \cap U([x^2//y]), U([x//y^2])$$

already cover $W^3(X/B)$.

I claim next that the natural rational map J_3 of $W^3(X/B)$ to the relative Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_3(X/B)$ is a morphism. This is a local assertion, and is moreover obvious except at points of W^3 lying over $(p, p, p) \in X^3/B$, so it suffices to check it on opens

$$U([y//x^2]), U([y^2//x, x^2//y]), U([x//y^2])$$

as above. Over $U([y//x^2])$, it is easy to see that the expression

$$(y - y_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3),$$

considered as a function on $W^3 \times_B X$, i.e. taken modulo $xy - x_1y_1$, is divisible by x_2x_3 : explicitly, setting

$$R = ([y_2//x_3] - [y//x^2])x^2 + ([y_1//x_3] + [y_1//x_2])x + y - (y_1 + y_2 + y_3)$$

(recall that $[y_2//x_3] = x_1[y//x^2]$ etc.), we have

$$x_2x_3R \equiv (y - y_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3) \pmod{xy - x_1y_1}.$$

Then on $U([y//x^2])$, J_3 takes a point with coordinates x_i, y_j to the ideal

$$J_3 = ((x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3), R, xy - x_1y_1).$$

Since the latter ideal evidently has colength 3 over W^3 , this makes J_3 a morphism over $U([y//x^2])$. The case of $U([x//y^2])$ is similar. Over $U([y^2//x, x^2//y])$, it is elementary to check as above that, always modulo $xy - x_1y_1$, $(y - y_1)(y - y_2)(x - x_3)$ is divisible by x_3 and $(x - x_1)(x - x_2)(y - y_3)$ is divisible by y_3 and the ideal

$$J_3 = \left(\frac{(y - y_1)(y - y_2)(x - x_3)}{x_3}, \frac{(x - x_1)(x - x_2)(y - y_3)}{y_3}, xy - x_1y_1 \right)$$

has colength 3 over W^3 and yields the map to Hilb (cf. §1).

For general r , $W^r(X/B)$ is naturally constructed by induction. It is convenient to summarize the construction and its main properties as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Define*

$$b_r : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow W^{r-1}(X/B) \times_B X$$

inductively as the blowup of in the canonical subscheme

$$\Gamma^r \subset W^{r-1}(X/B) \times_B X$$

corresponding to the morphism

$$J_{r-1} : W^{r-1}(X/B) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{r-1}(X/B),$$

and let

$$w_r : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow X^r/B$$

be the natural map. Then

(i) $W^r(X/B)$ is reduced, and is irreducible if X is; Γ^r is reduced and has $r-1$ irreducible components each isomorphic to W^{r-1} ; as functor of the family X/B , $W^r(X/B)$ commutes with base-change;

(ii) the natural rational map

$$J_r : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r(X/B)$$

is a morphism;

(iii) for each node p of X/B , a neighborhood N of $w_r^{-1}(p, \dots, p)$ in $W^r = W^r(X/B)$ carries rational functions

$$[y^i/x^{r-i}] = [y^I/x^J], [x^i/y^{r-i}] = [x^I/y^J]$$

where $I \subset [1, r]$ is any index-set of cardinality i and complement J and the domains of regularity

$$U([y/x^{r-1}]), \dots, U([y^i/x^{r-i}], [x^{r-i+1}/y^{i-1}]), \dots, U([x/y^{r-1}])$$

form a covering of N ;

(iv) setting

$$(2.6) \quad P_i^r = \prod_{j=1}^i (y - y_j) \prod_{j=i+1}^r (x - x_j) \in \mathcal{O}_{W^r}[x, y]/(xy - x_1 y_1),$$

over $U([y^i/x^{r-i}])$, P_i^r is divisible by $x_{i+1} \cdots x_r$ and over $U([x^{r-i}/y^i])$, P_i^r is divisible by $y_1 \cdots y_i$; over $U([y^i/x^{r-i}], [x^{r-i+1}/y^{i-1}])$, the map J_r is given by

$$J_r = (xy - x_1 y_1, \frac{P_i^r}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_r}, \frac{P_{i-1}^r}{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}});$$

(v) W^{r+1} is covered by open sets over each of which either

(a) $[y^i/x^{r+1-i}]$ is regular and $\frac{P_{i-1}^r(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1})}{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}}$ is an equation for Δ^{r+1} (called an 'x-based equation'); or

(b) $[x^{r-i+1}/y^i]$ is regular and $\frac{P_i^r(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1})}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_r}$ is an equation for Δ^{r+1} (called a 'y-based equation').

proof. For $r \leq 3$ all of this has already been proven, so we may assume it holds for $r-1$. First (i) is clear from the fact that W^r is an iterated blowup of the cartesian product X^r/B , while Γ^r coincides with the union of the graphs (over B) of the coordinate projections $p_i : W^{r-1} \rightarrow X$ (proof as in the $r=3$ case). For the rest, we may as before work over

$$U([y^i/x^{r-1-i}], [x^{r-i}/y^{i-1}]) \subset W^{r-1}$$

so in a suitable open set in $W^{r-1} \times_B X$, the ideal of Γ^r is generated by

$$\frac{P_i^{r-1}}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_{r-1-i}}, \frac{P_{i-1}^{r-1}}{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}}$$

(where we plug in (x_r, y_r) for (x, y)). Thus the blowup (in the part under consideration) is covered by two standard opens. In the first we have the regular function

$$\frac{P_i^{r-1}}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_{r-1-i}} / \frac{P_{i-1}^{r-1}}{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}} = \frac{y_r - y_i}{x_r - x_i} \frac{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}}{x_i \cdots x_{r-1-i}}$$

and it is easy to see as before that this coincides as rational function with

$$-\frac{y_1 \cdots y_i}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_r}$$

and, for that matter, with any $-y^I/x^J$ as in (iii) so we may denote it by

$$-[y^i/x^{r-i}].$$

It is also easy to see as before that this standard open coincides with the regularity domain of this function so we denote it by $U([y^i/x^{r-i}])$. Similarly we get a rational function $[x^{r-i}/y^i]$.

Now we can prove (iii). Given $z \in W^r$, such that $w_r(z)$ is close to $(p, \dots, p)$, we may assume z projects to

$$z' \in U([y^i/x^{r-1-i}], [x^{r-i}/y^{i-1}]) \subset W^{r-1},$$

and in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_1 \cdots y_i y_r}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_{r-1}} &= [y^{i+1}/x^{r-1-i}], \\ \frac{x_i \cdots x_{r-1} x_r}{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}} &= [x^{r-i+1}/y^{i-1}] \end{aligned}$$

is regular at z . As we have seen, either

$$[y^i/x^{r-i}] \quad \text{or} \quad [x^{r-i}/y^i]$$

are regular at z . Therefore either

$$z \in U([y^i/x^{r-i}], [x^{r-i+1}/y^{i-1}])$$

or

$$z \in U([x^{r-i}/y^i], [y^{i+1}/x^{r-1-i}]).$$

Thus (iii) is proved.

We will now prove (iv). To begin with, note that the question is local (on W^r , *a fortiori* on X/B) so we may assume X/B is the versal family $xy = t$ over $B = \mathbb{A}^1$ (actually we just need that B is integral). Now we first show that over $U([y^i/x^{r-i}])$, P_i^r is divisible by $x_{i+1} \cdots x_r$; the proof that over $U([x^{r-i}/y^i])$, P_i^r is divisible by $y_1 \cdots y_i$ is similar. Now P_i^r (a polynomial in x, y subject to the relation $xy = x_1 y_1$) is a sum of terms of the form

$$M_{i-a}(y)M_{r-i-b}(x)y^a x^b$$

where the M 's are monomials in distinct variables $y_1, \dots, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r$ of the indicated degrees. If $a \leq b$, use the relations

$$xy = x_j y_j, \forall j$$

to rewrite this term as

$$x^{b-a} y_1 \cdots y_i M_{r-i-b+a}(x),$$

which is clearly divisible as claimed. If $b \leq a$ this term can be rewritten as

$$y^{a-b} M_{i-a+b}(y) x_{i+1} \cdots x_r,$$

which is even more clearly divisible as claimed.

Note that the above calculation shows $P_i^r/x_{i+1} \cdots x_r$ and $P_{i-1}^r/y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}$ can be written respectively as

$$y^i + f_1(x) + f_2(y),$$

$$x^{r+1-i} + g_1(x) + g_2(y)$$

where f_1, f_2 have degree $\leq r-i$ and g_1, g_2 have degree $\leq i-1$ and all have regular functions as coefficients. By the proof of Proposition 1.2, we see that for J_r as defined to yield a morphism

$$J_r : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^r(X/B)$$

is equivalent to certain identities (1.4) among the coefficients of the f_i and g_j . Since J_r clearly coincides *generically* with the evident rational map, these identities hold generically, hence they hold period, so J_r is indeed a lifting of the evident rational map as morphism to Hilb. This completes the proof of (iv). Finally, in light of the fact that

$$\frac{P_{i-1}^r(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1})}{y_1 \cdots y_{i-1}} / \frac{P_i^r(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1})}{x_{i+1} \cdots x_r} = [x^{r-i+1} // y^i],$$

(v) follows directly from (iv) and the definition of blowup (the two opens in question are the standard affine opens of $\mathbb{P}^1$ over $U([y^i // x^{r-i}], [x^{r-i+1} // y^{i-1}])$). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

A posteriori, we can identify $W^r(X/B)$ as the 'ordered flag-Hilbert' scheme: recall that the flag Hilbert scheme $\text{fHilb}_m(X/B)$ parametrizes $\mathcal{O}_B$ -chains of ideals

$$I_m < \dots < I_1 < \mathcal{O}_X$$

where $\mathcal{O}_X/I_j$ is $\mathcal{O}_B$ -locally free of length j . We set

$$\text{ofHilb}_m(X/B) = \text{fHilb}(X/B) \times_B X^m/B.$$

Note that the various maps J_j together yield a morphism

$$\eta_r : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow \text{ofHilb}_r(X/B).$$

Corollary 2.2. *The map η_r is an isomorphism.*

proof. As usual, it suffices to prove that the fibre of η_r over an ordered flag supported at a point p that is a relative node reduces (scheme-theoretically) to a point. By induction, it then suffices to prove that a fibre of the natural map

$$\zeta_r : W^r \rightarrow W^{r-1} \times_B X \times_B \text{Hilb}_r(X/B)$$

is a point. Note that by construction, if $w \in W^r$ lies over

$$w' \in U([y^i // x^{r-1-i}], [x^{r-i} // y^{i-1}])$$

then a fibre of the map

$$b_r : W^r \rightarrow W^{r-1} \times_B X$$

already is coordinatized locally by either

$$[y^i // x^{r-i}] \text{ or } [x^{r-i} // y^i].$$

Now by Proposition 1.2 and the computations in the proof of Theorem 2.1(iv) it is clear that the corresponding point in Hilb_r is either

$$I_i^r(a), \text{ with } a = [y^i/x^{r-i}]$$

if $a \neq 0$ (note that a is the coefficient of x^{r-i} in $P_i^r/x_{i+1} \cdots x_r$), or Q_i^r if

$$[y^i/x^{r-i}] = [x^{r-i+1}/y^{i-1}] = 0.$$

In either case, the computations show that $[y^i/x^{r-i}]$ or $[x^{r-i}/y^i]$ can be read off from Hilb_r , consequently ζ_r is an isomorphism, hence so is η_r . $\square$

Unfortunately, W^r is never smooth if $r \geq 3$, as soon as X/B has singular fibres, but we still have

Proposition 2.3. *If B is smooth then $W^r(X/B)$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay.*

proof. We first show inductively that W^r is R_1 . For $r = 1$ this is clear. Inductively, if W^{r-1} is R_1 then clearly so is $W^{r-1} \times_B X$. Moreover the blowup map

$$b_r : W^r \rightarrow W^{r-1} \times_B X$$

has at most $\mathbb{P}^1$ fibres and those only over a codimension-3 locus. Hence W^r is R_1 .

For CM, we again argue inductively. If W^{r-1} is CM, then since X is CM and $W^{r-1} \times_B X$ is a locally complete intersection in $W^{r-1} \times X$, it is CM as well. Finally W^r is locally a hypersurface in $W^{r-1} \times_B X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, it is CM as well. $\square$

Now let

$$\Gamma^{r+1} \subset W^r \times_B X$$

be the 'tautological divisor' (=pullback of universal divisor over the Hilbert scheme via J_r). For any locally free sheaf L on X , set

$$(2.7) \quad S^r(L) = p_{W^r*}(p_X^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^{r+1}}),$$

which is clearly locally free of rank $r \cdot \text{rk}(L)$, and which we call the r -th *secant bundle* associated to L . It was introduced in the smooth case by Schwarzenberger [Sc]. Note that $W^r(X/B)$ is not symmetric with respect to permuting the factors, but still there are projections 'to the first s factors', for all $s \leq r$:

$$\gamma^{r,s} : W^r \rightarrow W^s.$$

We also set

$$\gamma^r = \gamma^{r,r-1}.$$

Also, denote by

$$\Delta^r \subset W^r$$

be the exceptional divisor of b_r , i.e. $b_r^*(\Gamma^r)$, which is by definition of blowup a Cartier divisor. As we saw before in the $r = 3$ case, we have for any r that Γ^r splits up as

$$\Gamma^r = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} \Gamma_i^r$$

with each Γ_i^r , being the graph of the i -th coordinate projection to X , is isomorphic to W^{r-1} and in particular is reduced always and irreducible if X is. Similarly Δ^r splits

$$\Delta^r = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} \Delta_i^r$$

with each Δ_i^r reduced and birational to Γ_i^r (and in general a non-Cartier divisor on W^r).

Proposition 2.4. *There is an exact sequence of vector bundles on $W^r(X/B)$*

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow p_r^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta^r) \rightarrow S^r(L) \rightarrow \gamma^{r*}(S^{r-1}(L)) \rightarrow 0$$

proof. There is clearly a natural surjection

$$S^r(L) \rightarrow \gamma^{r*}(S^{r-1}(L))$$

whose kernel K is locally free of rank $\text{rk}(L)$ and moreover admits a generically injective map

$$k : K \rightarrow p_r^*(L).$$

Clearly, k vanishes at each generic point of Δ^r , hence factors through $p_r^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta^r)$. It is easy to see that the factored map

$$K \rightarrow p_r^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta^r)$$

is an isomorphism in codimension 1 of line bundles, hence an isomorphism since W^r is pure-dimensional. $\square$

Thus $S^r(L)$ has a natural filtration with quotients

$$(\gamma^r, s)^*(p_s^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta^s)),$$

and consequently we have

Corollary 2.5. *The total Chern class $c(S^r(L))$ satisfies*

$$(2.9) \quad c(S^r(L)) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + L_i - (\gamma^{r,i})^* \Delta^i)$$

where L_i denotes (the class of) $p_i^*(L)$ and $\Delta^1 = 0$.

This result can be used to give a *multiple-point formula* for maps to a Grassmannian. Let X/B be as above and

$$f : X \rightarrow G$$

be a map to a Grassmannian $G = G(k, m+k)$, with tautological sub- and quotient bundles S_G, Q_G respectively. Set

$$S_X = f^* S_G, Q_X = f^* Q_G.$$

Note that on $W^r(X/B) \times G$ we have a natural map

$$p_2^*(S_G) \rightarrow p_1^* S^r(Q_X)$$

which vanishes at a point (w, g) iff f maps the scheme corresponding to w to the reduced point scheme g . We call the latter locus $M_r^+(f)$. If we define a bundle $S^{r,1}(L)$, for any L , by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{r,1}(L) \rightarrow S^r(L) \rightarrow (\gamma^{r,1})^*(L) \rightarrow 0$$

then clearly the zero-scheme of the induced map

$$p_2^*(S_G) \rightarrow p_1^*(\gamma^{r,1})^*(Q_X)$$

is just the graph of the composite

$$f \circ p_1 : W^r \rightarrow G.$$

Therefore $M_r^+(f)$ projects isomorphically to its image $M_r(f)$ in W^r and $M_r(f)$ is the zero-scheme of an analogous map

$$p_1^*(S_X) \rightarrow S^{r,1}(Q_X).$$

Then we have shown

Theorem 2.6. *In the above situation, $M_r^+(f)$ and $M_r(f)$ have a natural scheme structure as zero-scheme of vector bundle maps and when they have their expected codimension, viz. rkm or $(r-1)km$, the cohomology class*

$$(2.10) \quad [M_r(f)] = c_{(r-1)km}(p_1^*(S_X^*) \otimes S^{r,1}(Q_X)).$$

In case $G = \mathbb{P}^m = G(m, m+1)$, the formula (2.10) simplifies somewhat. Let's write

$$L_i = (f \circ p_i)^*(\mathcal{O}_G(1), M_1 = (f \circ p_1)^*(S_X).$$

Then we get

$$(2.11) \quad [M_r(f)] = \prod_{i=2}^r c_m(M_1^* \otimes (L_i - (\gamma^{r,i})^*(\Delta^i))) \\ = \prod_{i=2}^r \left(\sum_{j=0}^m L_1^j (L_i - (\gamma^{r,i})^*(\Delta^i))^{m-j} \right).$$

Let's consider the case $m = 2, r = 3$. Then the RHS of (2.11) yields

$$(2.12) \quad (L_1^2 + L_1(L_2 - \Delta^2) + (L_2 - \Delta^2)^2)(L_1^2 + L_1(L_3 - \Delta^3) + (L_3 - \Delta^3)^2).$$

We want to compute the image of this on $X = W^1$. To this end we must first compute the image on the second factor on W^2 via $\gamma^{3,2}$. This computation follows formally from the following formulae, where we set

$$f_0^i = \text{fibre of } W^i(X/B) \text{ over } 0 \in B,$$

$$d = \deg(f_0^1), b = \pi_* L^2 :$$

1. $\gamma_*^{32}(L_1^2) = 0$ (obvious)
2. $\gamma_*^{32}(L_1 L_3) = dL_1$
3. $\gamma_*^{32}(L_1 \Delta^3) = 2L_1$ (since Δ^3 has 2 components mapping birationally to W^2)
4. $\gamma_*^{3,2}(L_3^2) = \pi_2^*(b)$ (obvious)
5. $\gamma_*^{3,2}(L_3 \Delta^3) = L_1 + L_2$ (cf. (3))
6. $\gamma_*^{3,2}((\Delta^3)^2) = -K_1 - K_2 + 2\Delta^2$ where $K_i = p_i^*(K_{X/B})$; to show this we may work off the (codimension-2) exceptional locus of the natural birational map

$$W^2 \rightarrow X^2/B$$

and its inverse image in W^3 ; on this open set, Δ^3 consists of 2 components Δ_1^3, Δ_2^3 , each a pullback of the diagonal via the p_{13}, p_{23} projections, which meet in a locus projecting isomorphically to $\Delta^2 \subset W^2$.

[Actually analogues of formulae (1-6) hold for any $\gamma^{r,r-1}$, $r \geq 1$ in place of $\gamma^{3,2}$, where the analogue of (6) is

$$(\gamma^{r,r-1})_*((\Delta^r)^2) = -\sum_{i=1}^r K_i + 2\sum_{i=2}^{r-1} (\gamma^{r-1,i})^*(\Delta^i)$$

where we set $\gamma^{r-1,r-1} = \text{identity}$.]

The result is

$$(2.13) \quad \gamma_*^{3,2}((L_1^2 + L_1(L_3 - \Delta^3) + (L_3 - \Delta^3)^2)) \\ = (d-4)L_1 - 2L_2 - K_1 - K_2 + 2\Delta^2 + \pi_2^*(b)$$

Thus the image of (2.12) via $\gamma^{3,2}$ is

$$(2.14) \quad (L_1^2 + L_1(L_2 - \Delta^2) + (L_2 - \Delta^2)^2) \cdot \\ ((d-4)L_1 - 2L_2 - K_1 - K_2 + 2\Delta^2 + \pi_2^*(b))$$

The computation of this product is straightforward, as is that of its image in X , noting that the normal bundle to Δ^2 in W^2 is just $-b_2^*K_1 + E$ where

$$b_2 : W^2 \rightarrow X^2/B$$

is the natural blowup map and E its exceptional locus, which is a divisor on Δ^2 ; indeed the restriction of b_2 over the diagonal

$$\Delta_X = X \subset X^2/B$$

is just the blowup of the critical locus of π , which we denote by σ (cf. [R6]), therefore

$$\gamma_*^{2,1}(\Delta^2)^2 = -K, \\ \gamma_*^{2,1}(\Delta^2)^3 = \gamma_*^{2,1}(K - E)^2 = K^2 - \sigma.$$

Notice that the image on X of $M_3(f)$ is geometrically twice the locus of points contained in a relative triple point of f , while the image on B of the same is 6 times the locus of fibres containing a triple point. Thus writing out the product yields

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a family of connected nodal curves of arithmetic genus g and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ a morphism. Then the virtual class on X of the locus of points contained in a relative triple point of f is*

$$(2.15) \quad N_{3,X}(f) = \frac{1}{2}((3d^2 - 18d + 24 + 6g - 6)L^2 + (18 - 3d)KL + 4K^2 - 2\sigma);$$

the locus in B of fibres containing a relative triple point of f has virtual class

$$N_{3,B}(f) = \frac{1}{3}\pi_*(N_{3,X}(f)).$$

Here $L = f^\mathcal{O}(1)$, $K = \omega_{X/B}$, $d = \deg f(\text{fibre})$, $\sigma = \text{critical locus of } f$.*

Let us finally specialize to the case where X/B is normalization of the pencil of rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ through $3d - 2$ assigned generic points. In this case all the ingredients of (2.15) have been computed recursively before (cf. [R4, R5] and references therein): $L^2 = N_d$, the number of rational curves of degree d through $3d - 1$ generic points,

$$K = -2s_1 - m_1f_0^1 + R_1$$

where s_1 is a section of X/B contracted by f to a point, R_1 is the sum of all fibre components disjoint from s_1 , and m_1 is a certain recursively computed number. Hence

$$L.K = -dm_1 + L.R_1, K^2 = -\sigma$$

and σ coincides with the number of reducible fibres of X/B , also recursively computed. We conclude

Corollary 2.8. *The number of rational curves of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2$ having a triple point and going through $3d - 2$ generic points is (cf.[R6])*

$$(2.16) \quad N_{d,3} = \frac{1}{2}((d^2 - 6d + 10) + (d - 6)(dm_1 - L.R_1) - 3\sigma)$$

Example 2.9. $N_{4,3} = 60$, a number first computed by Zeuthen and rederived with modern methods by Kleiman and Piene [KP] (I am grateful to Steve Kleiman for this reference). See [KP,R6] for some similar examples.

When f is a map to $\mathbb{P}^m$, one is interested classically not only in the relative multiple-point loci of f but also in its relative *multisecant loci*, that is the locus of length- r subschemes of fibres whose f -image is contained in a linear $\mathbb{P}^k$. This locus can be enumerated by the above results, as the r -fold locus of the natural projection

$$I_X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(k, m) := G$$

where I_X is the incidence variety, i.e.

$$I_X = \{(x, L) : f(x) \in L\} \subset X \times G.$$

But it is simpler and more direct to enumerate this locus as follows. Set

$$(2.17) \quad \text{Sec}_k^r(f) = \{(z, L) : f(\text{sch}(z)) \subset L \text{ as schemes}\} \subset W^r(X/B) \times G$$

where $\text{sch}(z)$ is the subscheme of X corresponding to z . Clearly $\text{Sec}_k^r(f)$ is just the zero- scheme of the natural map

$$p_2^*(Q_G^*) \rightarrow S^r(L)$$

where Q_G is the tautological quotient bundle (of rank $m - k$) on G and $L = f^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$. Thus we conclude

Theorem 2.9. *For a family of nodal curves X/B and a morphism*

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m,$$

the virtual locus on $W^r(X/B) \times G$ of relatively r -secant k -planes to X/B in $\mathbb{P}^m$ is given by

$$(2.16) \quad [\text{Sec}_k^r(f)] = c_{r(m-k)}(p_1^*(S^r(L)) \otimes p_2^*(Q_G)).$$

If the RHS of (2.16) is nonzero (resp. noneffective), then the locus of relative r -secant k -planes is nonempty (resp. of dimension larger than the expected, viz. $\dim(B) + r + (k + r + 2)(m - k)$). $\square$

Note that the projection of $\text{Sec}_k^r(f)$ to $W^r(X/B)$ coincides with the locus where the natural map

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{W^r(X/B)} \rightarrow S^r(L)$$

has rank at most $k + 1$, and consequently can be enumerated directly via Porteous' formula [F].

3. MULTIPLE-POINT FORMULAE

The purpose of this section is to state and prove a general *relative multiple point formula* for families of nodal curves X/B , i.e. a formula enumerating the length- r subschemes in fibres of X/B mapped to a point by a given map

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

to a general smooth variety. See [F, F1, K] and references therein for other work on multiple-point formulae.

In [2] we saw that in case Y was a Grassmannian we could write down, and enumerate, a multiple-point scheme as a zero-scheme of a suitable vector bundle on $W^r(X/B)$. This was a more-or-less direct consequence of, on the one hand the standard representation of the diagonal of Y as a zero-set of a vector bundle and, on the other hand, the existence of a tautological (secant) bundle on W^r . For general Y such a representation of the diagonal is not available, and consequently we will adopt an inductive, or recursive, approach, defining the r -th multiple-point scheme in terms of the $(r-1)$ st.

The first step is to define inductively the appropriate *multiple point schemes*

$$M_r \subseteq W^r(X/B).$$

Thus set

$$M_1 = W^1(X/B) = X.$$

The inductive step is provided by the following

Lemma 3.1. *Locally over $(\gamma^{r+1})^{-1}(M_r)$, $f(xy_1) - f(xy_{r+1})$ is divisible by an equation of Δ^{r+1} .*

The proof of the Lemma is based on a kind of 'finite-difference calculus' that we now explain. Let $f = f(x, y)$ be a vector-valued 'function' (which may be regular, rational, a (formal or convergent) power series, C^∞ , etc.). Define operators $D_{x_{21}}, D_{y_{21}}$ by

$$D_{x_{21}}(f)(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \frac{f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)}{x_1 - x_2},$$

$$D_{y_{21}}(f)(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \frac{f(x_2, y_2) - f(x_2, y_1)}{y_2 - y_1}$$

where the functions on the RHS are 'regular' in the same sense as f . These may be iterated, leading to operators $D_{*_{m1} \dots *_{21}}$ with each $*$ = x or y , the value being a function of m pairs (x_i, y_i) which may be independent or subjected to some relations- in the case we need the relations

$$x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 = \dots = x_m y_m$$

will be imposed. For convenience we may write

$$D_{x_{321}} = D_{x_{32}} D_{x_{21}},$$

etc. The basic properties of these operators to be used are the following

(1) *commutativity*, e.g.

$$D_{y_{31}} D_{x_{21}} = D_{x_{23}} D_{y_{31}};$$

etc.

(2) *product rule*, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_{21}}(fg)(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \\ D_{x_{21}}f \cdot g(x_1, y_1) + f(x_2, y_2) D_{x_{21}}g; \end{aligned}$$

(3) *chain rule*, e.g.

$$\frac{f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)}{x_1 - x_2} = D_{x_{21}}f + \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2} D_{y_{21}}f$$

with

$$\frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2}$$

only a rational function; if the relation $x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2$ holds, the latter can be rewritten as

$$D_{x_{21}}f - [y//x] D_{y_{21}}f$$

where, as before

$$[y//x] = y_1/x_2 = y_2/x_1.$$

proof of Lemma. As usual, it suffices to prove this in a neighborhood of a point lying over $(p, \dots, p)$ for a fibre node p . We will first prove it in a neighborhood of a point in $U([y_{r+1}/x_1 \cdots x_r])$. As we have seen, in such a neighborhood a local equation for Δ^{r+1} is given by $(x_1 - x_{r+1}) \cdots (x_r - x_{r+1})$ so we must show

$$\frac{f(xy_1) - f(xy_{r+1})}{(x_1 - x_{r+1}) \cdots (x_r - x_{r+1})}$$

is regular. In fact, we will prove more precisely that in such a neighborhood we have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{f(xy_1) - f(xy_{r+1})}{(x_1 - x_2) \cdots (x_r - x_{r+1})} = (-1)^{r+1} D_{x_{(r+1)r \dots 21} y_1} f + R_{1 \dots r(r+1)}$$

where $R_{1 \dots r(r+1)}$ is a sum of terms of the form

$$\pm [y_{r+1}/x^{I_1}] \cdots [y_{r+1}/x^{I_k}] D_{x_* y_* x_* \dots *} f.$$

For $r = 1$ this is easy, with the usual freshman calculus technique of 'subtracting and adding $f(x_2, y_1)$:

$$\frac{f(xy_1) - f(xy_2)}{(x_1 - x_2)} = D_{x_{21} y_1} f + \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2} D_{y_{21} x_2} f$$

$$= D_{x_{21}:y_1} f - [y_2/x_1] D_{y_{21}:x_2} f.$$

So assume ? is true with $r+1$ replaced by r , so that on M_r we have

$$(3.2) \quad (-1)^r D_{x_{(r)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f = -R_{1\dots(r-1)r}$$

Then we also have an analogous relation to (3.2) replacing r by $r+1$:

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{f(xy_1) - f(xy_{r+1})}{(x_1 - x_{r+1}) \cdots (x_{r-1} - x_{r+1})} = (-1)^r D_{x_{(r+1)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f + R_{1\dots(r-1)(r+1)}$$

(a priori the coefficients in (3.3) are only rational but, being all regular multiples of $[y_{r+1}/x_1 \cdots x_r]$, are regular in the open where considered). Then from (3.1) we get

$$\frac{f(xy_1) - f(xy_{r+1})}{(x_1 - x_2) \cdots (x_r - x_{r+1})} =$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x_r - x_{r+1}} ((-1)^r D_{x_{(r+1)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f + R_{1\dots(r-1)(r+1)}) = \\ & \frac{1}{x_r - x_{r+1}} ((-1)^r D_{x_{(r+1)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f - (-1)^r D_{x_{(r)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f + \\ & \quad (-1)^r D_{x_{(r)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f + R_{1\dots(r-1)(r+1)}) = \\ & \frac{1}{x_r - x_{r+1}} ((-1)^r D_{x_{(r+1)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f - (-1)^r D_{x_{(r)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5) \quad -R_{1\dots(r-1)r} + R_{1\dots(r-1)(r+1)})$$

(the latter equality by (3.2)). Now clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x_r - x_{r+1}} ((-1)^r D_{x_{(r+1)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f - (-1)^r D_{x_{(r)(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f \\ & \quad = (-1)^{r+1} D_{x_{(r+1)r(r-1)\dots 21}:y_1} f \end{aligned}$$

and by 'derivative of a product' formulae as in elementary calculus, we see that

$$\frac{1}{x_r - x_{r+1}} (-R_{1\dots(r-1)r} + R_{1\dots(r-1)(r+1)})$$

has the form $R_{1\dots(r+1)}$ as claimed.

Finally, in the general case we use Theorem 2.1 (v) and symmetry which allow us to work in an open set where $[x^{r-i}/y^i]$ and $[y^{i+1}/x^{r-i}]$ are regular and

$$E = \frac{P_i^r(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1})}{y_1 \cdots y_i}$$

is an equation for Δ^{r+1} . We recall that here and in similar situations, $[x^{r-i}/y^i]$ is short for $(\gamma^{r+1})^*([x^{r-i}/y^i])$, i.e. $x_{i+1} \cdots x_r / y_1 \cdots y_i$. Working inductively, starting with

$$(f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1}))y_1/(y_1 - y_{r+1}),$$

we can check that

$$(f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1}))/E$$

is a linear combination of expressions $D_{*...*}f$ with each $*$ = x_{ab} or y_{cd} and coefficients C which are products of x_i, y_j 's, $[x^{r-i}/y^i]$ and $[y^{i+1}/x^{r-i}]$, hence are regular (in fact, counting each x, y index in $D_{*...*}$ as (-1) , the total bidegree of $C \cdot D_{*...*}$ is $(-(r-i), 0)$). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Now the Lemma gives us an inclusion

$$i : (\gamma^{r+1})^*(\mathcal{I}_{M_r}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{W^{r+1}}(-\Delta^{r+1})$$

and we define a subscheme M_{r+1} by

$$\mathcal{I}_{M_{r+1}} = \text{im}(i \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta^{r+1})) \subset \mathcal{O}_{W^{r+1}}.$$

This is what's usually called the *residual scheme* to Δ^{r+1} in $(\gamma^{r+1})^*(M_r)$.

To state our multiple-point formula we need some further notation. Let X/B be as above and

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

be a morphism to a smooth m -dimensional variety. For $k \geq 2$ set

$$(3.6.) \quad \mu_k(f) = ((f \circ p_1) \times (f \circ p_k))^*(\Delta_Y) - \Delta^k \left\{ \frac{(f \circ p_1)^*(c(T_Y))}{1 + \Delta^k} \right\}_{m-1}$$

Also let

$$(3.7.) \quad m_r(f) = \prod_{k=2}^r (\gamma^{r,k})^*(\mu_k(f))$$

where

$$\gamma^{r,k} : W^r(X/B) \rightarrow W^k(X/B)$$

is the natural map

Theorem 3.2. *Let X/B be a family of nodal curves and*

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

a morphism to a smooth m -dimensional variety. Then

(i) there is a natural scheme structure $M_r(f)$ on the locus in $W^r(X/B)$ of points whose associated scheme is mapped by f to a reduced point;

(ii) if B is irreducible, $M_r(f)$ is locally defined by $(r-1)m$ equations on $W^r(X/B)$ hence is purely at least $(\dim(B) + r - (r-1)m) -$ dimensional and if equality holds then

$$(3.8) \quad [M_r(f)] = m_r(f).$$

proof. The proof is by induction on r , and clearly holds for $r = 1$, so assume the result holds for $r = 1$. For (i) it would suffice that a point $z_r \in W^r$ is in the support of $M_r(f)$ (as constructed above) iff the scheme corresponding to z_r , i.e.

$$\text{sch}(z_r) := \mathcal{O}_X/J_r(z_r)$$

is mapped by f to a reduced point. Clearly, it suffices to prove this when $\text{sch}(z_r)$ is punctual, supported at a fibre node p . Choosing coordinates on Y , we may represent f as a vector-valued function with $f(p) = 0$. Let z_{r-1} be the projection of z_r to W^{r-1} . By Proposition 1.1(iv), either $\text{sch}(z_r)$ is of type I and $\text{sch}(z_{r-1})$ is of type Q or vice versa. We assume the former as the latter is similar and simpler. Thus we assume

$$J_r(z_r) = (y^j + ax^{r-j}), J_{r-1}(z_{r-1}) = (y^j, x^{r-j}).$$

By construction of W^r , this means that local equations for the tautological subscheme Γ^r over a neighborhood of z_r are

$$P_{j-1}^r/y_1 \cdots y_{j-1}, P_j^r/x_{j+1} \cdots x_r$$

while equations for Γ^{r-1} over a neighborhood of z_{r-1} are

$$P_{j-1}^{r-1}/y_1 \cdots y_{j-1}, P_j^{r-1}/x_{j+1} \cdots x_{r-1},$$

and that a local equation for Δ^r in a neighborhood of z_r is

$$E = P_{j-1}^{r-1}(x_r, y_r)/y_1 \cdots y_{j-1}$$

moreover, $[y^j/x^{r-j}]$ is regular at z_r , indeed

$$[y^j/x^r - j] = \frac{P_j^{r-1}/x_{j+1} \cdots x_{r-1}}{P_{j-1}^{r-1}/y_1 \cdots y_{j-1}}$$

and

$$a = [y^j / x^{r-j}](z_r).$$

Now by induction f maps $\text{sch}(z_{r-1})$ to the origin, which means that, as a function on W^r , we can write

$$f = bP_{j-1}^{r-1}/y_1 \cdots y_{j-1} + cP_j^{r-1}/x_{j+1} \cdots x_{r-1}.$$

Thus

$$f/E = b + c[y^j/x^r - j]$$

so

$$(f/E)(z_r) = b + ac.$$

Thus $(f/E)(z_r) = 0$ iff f vanishes on $\text{sch}(z_r)$, completing the proof of (i).

In (ii), the assertion about the number of equations is clear from the definition. As for the assertion about the cohomology class, it is clear from the Fulton-MacPherson residual- intersection formula [F] provided both M_r and M_{r-1} have their expected dimensions. In the general case, let $C_1, \dots, C_k$ be the irreducible components of M_{r-1} . By Fulton's theory, there is a cycle U_i of dimension $\dim(B) + r - 1 - (r - 2)m$ on each C_i such that

$$\sum [U_i] = m_{r-1}.$$

Since M_r is locally defined by m equations over $(\gamma^r)^{-1}(M_r)$ but still has its expected dimension, it follows that the contribution of each oversized component C_i to M_r is empty, and in particular

$$(\gamma^r)^*(U_i) \cdot \mu_r(f) = 0.$$

So these oversized components contribute nothing to either M_r or m_r , so ??? still holds. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *In the situation of Theorem 3.2, if $m_r(f) \neq 0$ then $M_r(f)$ is nonempty.*

Let us say that a smooth m -dimensional variety Y is *pseudo-Grassmannian* with bundle G if the diagonal

$$\Delta_Y \subset Y \times Y$$

is a zero-scheme of G .

Examples. (i) Clearly a Grassmannian has this property, with

$$G = p_1^* S^* \otimes Q$$

where S and Q are respectively the tautological sub- and quotient bundles.

(ii) Trivially, any curve is pseudo-Grassmannian

(iii) Generally, a product of pseudo-Grassmannians is pseudo-Grassmannian, therefore any product of curves and Grassmannians is pseudo-Grassmannian.

Then the proof of Theorem 3.2 yields directly the following refinement.

Theorem 3.2 bis. *In the situation of Theorem 3.2, if moreover Y is pseudo-Grassmannian with bundle G then $M_r(f)$ is a zero-scheme of*

$$(3.9) \quad \bigoplus_{i=2}^r ((f \circ p_1) \times (f \circ p_i))^*(G)(-(\gamma^{r,i})^* \Delta^i)$$

Consider the case $r = 2, m = 3$. Thus we have a family of nodal curves mapping to Y and are enumerating the relative multiple points of their images in Y (at least if we assume that a general fibre of X/B is smooth and embeds in Y and that every fibre maps in with degree 1). Then ?? yields (writing $f_i = f \circ p_i$):

$$(3.10) \quad [M_2(f)] = (f_1 \times f_2)^*(\Delta_Y) - ((\Delta^2)^3 - (\Delta^2)^2 f_1^* K_Y + \Delta^2 f_1^* c_2(Y)).$$

By the calculations in [R6], we have as in the proof of Corollary 2.8,

$$p_{1*}(\Delta^2)^3 = K^2 - \sigma,$$

$$p_{1*}((\Delta^2)^2 f_1^* K_Y) = -K \cdot f^*(K_Y), \quad p_{1*} = f^*(c_2(Y))$$

where as before $K = \omega_{X/B}$ and σ is the critical locus of π . Thus we obtain

Corollary 3.4. *For a family of nodal curves X/B mapping via f to a smooth 3-fold Y , the virtual locus on X of relative double points of f is*

$$(3.11) \quad [N_{2,X}(f)] = p_{1*}(f_1 \times f_2)^*(\Delta_Y) - (K^2 - \sigma + K \cdot f^* K_Y + f^* c_2(Y))$$

The expression $p_{1*}(f_1 \times f_2)^*(\Delta_Y)$ (which is just a number if B is 1-dimensional) may be evaluated in various ways. For example, working in singular cohomology over $\mathbb{C}$, let (α_i) be a homogeneous basis for the total cohomology $H^*(B)$ and let (α_i^*) be the dual basis. Then the class of the diagonal Δ_B in $B \times B$ is given by

$$[\Delta_B] = \sum \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_i^*$$

setting

$$\beta_i = f_*(\pi^*(\alpha_i)), \beta_i^* = f_*(\pi^*(\alpha_i^*))$$

we have

$$(3.12) \quad f_*(p_{1*}(f_1 \times f_2)^*(\Delta_Y)) = \sum \beta_i \cdot \beta_i^*$$

(which coincides with $p_{1*}(f_1 \times f_2)^*(\Delta_Y)$ or $f_1 \times f_2)^*(\Delta_Y)$ when they are of top degree, i.e. numbers. Note also that when B is a curve, we have

$$[\Delta_B] \equiv [B] \otimes [pt] + [pt] \otimes B \mod H^1 \otimes H^1$$

so if $H^3(Y) = 0$ then ? reduces to $2f_*([f_0]) \cdot f_*([X])$. Finally note that one customarily denotes

$$\pi_*(K^2) = \kappa, \pi_*(\sigma) = \delta.$$

Thus we have

Corollary 3.5. *In the above situation, suppose*

(i) *a generic fibre of X/B is smooth and embedded via f ;*

(ii) $\dim(B) = 1$;

(iii) $H^3(Y) = 0$.

Then the virtual number of double of relative double points of f is given by

$$(3.13) \quad n_2(f) = \frac{1}{2}(2f_*([f_0]) \cdot f_*([X]) - \kappa + \delta - K \cdot f^*K_Y - f^*c_2(Y)).$$

In particular, if $n_2(f) \neq 0$ then f does not embed all fibres of X/B and if $n_2(f) < 0$ then f has degree > 1 on some fibre.

As a special case, we recover a result from [R6]. We use the notation developed there; in particular $N_d^{\text{red}}(a.)$ denotes the number of *reducible* rational curves of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^3$ satisfying the incidence conditions indicated by $(a.)$ and $m_1 = -s_1^2$ where s_1 is the section of X/B corresponding to an incident linear subspace of codimension a_1 . Both these numbers are recursively computable. See [R4,R5] for more details.

Corollary 3.6. *With the notations of [R4,R5], the number of singular rational curves of degree d through a generic points and $4d - 2a - 1$ generic lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is*

$$(3.14) \quad (d-2)N_d(3^a 2^{4d-2a}) + N_d^{\text{red}}(3^a 2^{4d-2a-1}) - 2dm_1 + 2L.R_1$$

if $a > 0$ and

$$(3.13) \quad (d-2)N_d(3^a 2^{4d-2a}) + N_d^{\text{red}}(3^a 2^{4d-2a-1}) - 2dm_1 + 2L.R_1 - 4N_d(2^{4d-2a-2} 3^{a+1})$$

if $4d - 2a - 1 > 0$.

proof. The RHS of ?? yields, with $(a.) = (3^a 2^{4d-2a-1})$ or $(2^{4d-2a-1} 3^a)$ that, in the notation of [R4],

$$n_2(f) = \frac{1}{2}(2dN_d(3^a 2^{4d-2a}) - K^2 + N_d^{\text{red}}(a.) + 4LK - 4N_d(3^a 2^{4d-2a})).$$

The intersection calculus developed in [R4] shows that

$$LK = -2N_d(\dots, a_i + 1, \dots) - dm_i + L.R_i$$

for any i (we take $i = 1$). This gives the result (note that $N_d(4, ..) = 0$, whence the absence of this term from (3.12).

Remark 3.7. Theorem 3.2, as well as the other multiple-point results in this paper, admit straightforward generalizations to the relative case, where Y is replaced by a smooth morphism

$$\rho : Y \rightarrow B$$

and f is a B -morphism, i.e. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \rho \\ & B. & \end{array}$$

Note that the 'absolute' case discussed above becomes a special case of the relative case by replacing Y by $Y \times B \rightarrow B$. In the relative case The factors $\mu_k(f)$ are replaced by

$$(3.6') \quad \mu_k(f/B) = (f_1 \times_B f_k)^*(\Delta_{Y/B}) - \Delta^k \left\{ \frac{f_1^*(c(T_{Y/B}))}{1 + \Delta^k} \right\}_{m-1}$$

where $\Delta_{Y/B}$ is the diagonal in $Y \times_B Y$ and $T_{Y/B}$ is the relative or vertical tangent bundle of $Y \rightarrow B$ (which coincides with the normal bundle of $\Delta_{Y/B}$ in $Y \times_B Y$) and m is the relative dimension of Y/B . With (3.6') in place of (3.6), the analogue of Theorem 3.2 and its consequences hold. The analogous generalizations of Theorem 2.6 and its consequences, which concern maps to projective and Grassmannian bundles, also hold. The proofs are the same, because for a B -map f , multiple-point loci involve only the 'vertical' coordinates of Y over B .

REFERENCES

- [F] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer, 1984.
- [F1] ———, *A note on residual intersections and the double point formula*, Acta Math. **140** (1978), 93-101.
- [K] S. Kleiman, *Multiple-point formulas I: Iteration*, Acta Math. **147** (1981), 13-49.
- [KP] S. Kleiman, R. Piene, *Enumerating singular curves on surfaces*, Algebraic geometry, Hirzebruch 70, Contemporary Math., vol. 241, 1999, pp. 209-238, corrections and revision in math.AG/9903192.
- [Mac] I.G. MacDonald, *Symmetric products of an algebraic curve*, Topology **1** (1962), 319-343.
- [Mat] A. Mattuck, *Secant bundles on symmetric products*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1965), 779-797.
- [R1] Z. Ran, *Curvilinear enumerative geometry*, Acta math. **155** (1985), 81-101.
- [R2] ———, *Bend, break and count*, Isr. J. Math **111** (1999), 109-124.
- [R3] ———, *Bend, break and count II*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **127** (1999), 7-12.
- [R4] ———, *On the variety of rational space curve*, Isr. J. Math **122** (2001), 359-370.

- [R5] ———, *The degree of the divisor of jumping rational curves*, Quart. J. Math. (2001), 1-18.
- [R6] ———, *Enumerative geometry of divisorial families of rational curves*, eprint math.AG/0205090, updated version at www.math.ucr.edu/~ziv/papers/geonodal.pdf.
- [Sc] R.L.E. Schwarzenberger, *The secant bundle of a projective variety*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **14** (1964), 369-384.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
E-mail address: `ziv@math.ucr.edu`